Galaxies point at each other and mess up measurements of the Universe. Now with rainbows*!

v * *! Spectra

Claire Lamman ^(a),¹* Daniel Eisenstein,¹ Jessica Nicole Aguil*****,² Todd Claybaugh,² Axel de la Macorra ^{10,5} Arjun Dey ^{10,6} Biprateen Dey ^{10,7} Peter D Ferraro .2,8 Andreu Font-Ribera ⁽⁰⁾, ⁹ Jaime E. Forero-Romero ⁽⁰⁾, ^{11,12} Satya Gontcho A Gontcho ⁽⁰⁾, ² Julien Gu Robert Kehoe,¹³ Anthony Kremin ^(a),² Laurent L. Le Guillou ^(a),¹⁴ Michael Levi ^(a),² Marc Manuel Ramon Miquel,^{9,15} Jeffrey A. Newman ⁽⁰⁾,⁷ Jundan Nie ⁽⁰⁾,¹⁶ Nathalie Palange Francisco Prada ^(a),¹⁸ Mehdi Rezaie ^(a),¹⁹ Graziano Rossi,²⁰ Eusebio Sanghe O iael S Seo Hee-Jong ⁽⁰⁾,²³ Gregory Tarlé ⁽⁰⁾,²² Benjamin Alan Weaver,⁶ Zhimin Zhoc

- a Institute of Science and Technology, Campus UAB, 08193 Bellaterra Barcelona, Spain
- ⁹Institut de Física d'Alres Energet (the, Th ¹⁰Department of Physito, 9Fonomy, Universit London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK
- ¹¹Departamento de Físio da Weid de la 12 Observatorio Astronomico, Universidado
- Angel I. No. 18A-10, Edificio Ip, CP 111711, Bogotá, Colombia Angel I. No. 18A-10, Edificio H, CP 111711 Bogotá, Colombia ra. 1 No. 18A-10, Edificio H, CP 111711 Bogotá, Colombia
- ¹³Department of Physics 09 Chin Methodist rsiny, 3215 Daniel Avenue, Dallas, TX 75275, USA
- ¹⁴ Sorbonne Université, CNRS/IN2P3 **PEC**atoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies (LPNHE), FR-75005 Paris, France ¹⁵ Institució Catalana de **thi9** Pesidais Avançats, Passeig de Lluís Companys, 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain ¹⁴Sorbonne Université,

¹⁶National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, A20 Datun Rd., Chaoyang District, Beijing, 100012, P.R. China

¹⁸Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (CSIC), Glorieta de la Astronomía, s/n, E-18008 Granada, Spain

- ¹⁹Department of Physics, Kansas State University, 116 Cardwell Hall, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA
- ²⁰Department of Physics and Astronomy, Sejong University, Seoul, 143-747, Korea
- ²¹CIEMAT, Avenida Complutense 40, E-28040 Madrid, Spain
- ²² University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
- ²³Department of Physics & Astronomy, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA

²⁴National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, A20 Datun Rd., Chaoyang District, Beijing, 100012, P.R. China

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

INFORMATION-DENSE SUMMARY

ABSTRACT

We estimate the redshift-dependent, anisotropic clustering signal in DESI's Year 1 Survey created by tidal alignments of Luminous There ax will likes) an aunusual acclustering is pattern Tinthi DESI's mapre offic correlation between Lgalaxies.d It'sid created by's two 1 effects: ragalaxy Gorientations are xies (ELGs). We also estimate the galaxy orientation bias of LRGs caused by DESI's aperture-based selection, and find it to increase by a factor of scorrelated shiwith - 1 the tounder lying galaxk ling Matter DESI and aging galaxy cuts. These effects conorientationsea are erbiased uby pothe thway elwer fichoose) cgalaxies. ct. Both with on scales of 10-80 heffects are correlated with galaxy distance, which is necessary to meet DESI's forecasted precision measure with DESI's spectra. This clustering pattern is the same o significant difference pattern we use to measure how fast the cosmic web grows, and the Key word balance between dark energy and gravity! This is fair problem but we dark energy can fix it with the results here.

* E-mail: claire.lamman@cfa.harvard.edu

© 2023 The Authors

*by "rainbows" I mean spectra. More on this later.

¹Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

²Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

³Physics Dept., Boston University, 590 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, MA 02215, USA

⁴Department of Physics & Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK

⁵Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Cd. de México C.P. 04510, México

⁸University of Califor WOFLANCS, 110 Sproul Hall 45200 Review CA 04720 Mar ⁷Department of Phy **People** all and Putsburgh duricle P O'Hara Street, Pittsburgh, P. 15260 Wahara Conted

¹⁷IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France



Measuring the growth of large-scale structure in the Universe informs us about the components that drive it: gravity and dark energy. Th

easier to impose explicitly as a target cut (Zhou et al. 202 selection-induced bias in galaxy orientation likely also affects main You may have heard that telescopes are time machines because light takes (Kai time to travel. Light from a star that's one light year away takes a year to a

will be a surface-brightness dependence on the same

dense region get to Earth, so we see that star as it existed one year in the past. The result is a "squashing" effect in redshift-space. This is the dominant source of redshift-space distortions (RSD) on scales larger dominant source of redshift-space distortions (RSD) on scales larger few differences from IA measured in the context of weak lensing than clusters (aroun **Cosmologists** take this idea to the extreme and look at how with wen controlled. correlation function. This can be upressed as a series of spherical harmonics, of which the **cherry errors** itself changes over millions of years on a share which the grant of the precise than intrinsic shape measurements which are more precise than intrinsic shape clustering that arises from RSD. On large scales, the growth rate of structure is linearly related to ξ_2 . This makes RSD a powerful test of cosmological parameters and measuring it is one of the two main science goals of the the Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument

DESI is in the midst of a 5-year survey, measuring spectra of over 40 million galaxies within 16,000 deg² of the sky. The instrument We do this by mapping out the massiver tens web of dark matter in the Universe, as focal traced by glowing galaxies. Over time, ration gravity draws stuff together and the

web becomes more webby, through the comparison of anisotropic and isotropic clustering, ξ_2 and ξ_0 . It is more difficult to obtain high precision on ξ_2 than ξ_0 , so errors on $f\sigma_8$ are domi-However, it's growing slightly slower than ξ_{1} (a precision of a least 0.4-0.7%. A subtle effect that could take you discrimine the subtle effect that could take you discrimine the subtle effect that could take you discrimine the subtle effect that could take So what's up? Is gravity slacking? da selection-

Is Einstein Andiar Crrelations between galaxy shapes and with galaxy shapes to the underlying density. See Lamman et al. (2023a) edagogical guide to IA and Joachimi et al. (2015), Troxel & Probably not detailed reviews. It is historically measured as a contaminant of weak lensing, but IA in upcoming surveys may provide novel constraints on galaxy formation and cosmology (Chisari There's a mysterious force out there 2023; acting against gravity:

This effect arises from the extent to which galaxy shapes are correlated with the underlying tidal field. The primary axis of Luminous Red Galaxies (LRG: **DACK ENECOU**ng strands of density and point towards denser regions. This creates a clustering bias when combined with DESI's aperture-based target selection. An elliptical galaxy with its primary axis pointed at the observer will have a more concentrated light profile on the sky and a higher fraction of its light will fall with the apertushould makes DESI more likely to observe galaxies which the in densities of the logicities analy parallel to the line of sight (100 For a visualization of the state of the effects of 1 in terminan et al. (2023b). Studies have explored the effects of orientation are of Tht selection in Sloar Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) catalogs with differing results (Martens et al. 2018; Obuljen et al. 2020; SLPT: Telescopes are by far the nounced eter, as opposed way to travel in time

A total-magnitude selection would remove this bias from DESI, but spectroscopic success is highly dependent on the surface brightness

Measuring IA for the purpose of predicting an RSD bias has variation. This is the case with LRGs in DESI's Legacy Imaging Survey, which are relatively large and bright. Therefore it is more valuable for us to use the full redshift sample available than limit to a region which overlaps with a deeper imaging surv be done with other DESLA years away are detecting ep. Also If aliens 10 light years away are detecting ep. isolat Earth TV signals, they are just about to iently are unconcent the final season of Breaking Bad.... we redshire ous.

sed 84 102 g to estiSat No Year-one spectra (DESI Collaboration, in prep) to produce estimates which can be used to correct DESI's RSD measurements. We measure the tidal alignment of LRGs as traced by LRGs and ELGs, assess the impacts of imaging on the IA measurement, and estimate the redshift dependence of the selection-induced shape polarization. We report the Foulting redshift-dependent bias for DESU's Year one RSD results and discu gources of systematic uncertainfus a very big map of galaxies...

This is where "DESI" comes in, 2 DESI CAT the Dark Energy Spectroscopic 2.1 Imaging Instrument. We're measuring the vey (Dey et a positions of 40 million galaxies sources in 14 and making the most complete telescopes: N at Kitt Peak (map. of the nearby Universe lanco telescope at Cerro Tololo (DECaLS), and the Beijing-Arizona Sky Survey from the BOK telescope at Kitt Peak (BASS) (Zou et al. 2017). A regiDESI will measure how fast theig Their shape Cosmic web grows, revealing the balance between gravity and deled convolving wi at the pixel l Vaucouleurs, dark energy. In this paper, we pe parameters are explore an effect that will bias sic alignment these simportant measurements. as circles (PSF and round-exponentials), we use shape parameters from the best fit between exponential disk and de Vaucouleurs. This will not affect our final results as the DESI target selection does not depend on these derived shape parameters.

The parameters used to describe each projected galaxy imagins primary axis, a, secondary axis, b, and orientation θ (Figu ape of an ellipse relative to

For a similar paper summary on Dark Energy, see this link For a similar paper summary on a related paper, see this link. This is what a galaxy looks like to a cosmologist (apologies to other astronomers)



Figure 1. The parameters used to describe the shape and orientation of the ellipse created by projecting an elliptical galaxy. Here, the ellipticity is measured relative to North. For our measurement, ellipticity is measured relative to the particity error sample.

direction using ϵ_+ :

 $\epsilon_{+} = \frac{a-b}{a+b}\cos(2\theta) \tag{1}$

DESIVE have lovely pictures of deach based on the galaxy, which is how we get, which correspond to a 1.5 dressed diameter aperture. The z-band magnitude within information about their shape. In Galactic Cap and $z_{fiber} < 21.60$ in the Southern Galactic Cap. For more information DESI's target selection, see Raichoor et al. (2020); Zhou et al. (2To us), every galaxy is an oval and

This this fitting the target plectin is dependent theor impring quality, which varies across sky regions. To quality the effect of imaging **its ishape** and orientation the LRGs into three sky regions: The MzLS and BASS region, the DECaLS region which does not contain DES imaging, and the DES region. We compare the reported axis ratio, b/a, of the reported galaxy shapes in each region in Figure 2. The MzLS and BASS region reports more eccentric LRG shapes than the other regions, and the region with highestality imaging, DES, reports the roundest shapes. While this may ate an over-correcting of the PSF in MzLS and BASS imaging, we measure the IA signal independently in these regions and do not GALAXYSI RAINBOWS on final results (Section 3.2).

Redshift-dependent RSD bias from Intrinsic Alignment



As in Lamman et al. (2022b), we measure the correlation between galaxy shapes and density by averaging the ellipticity of each LRG relative to the separation vector between it and nearby galaxies in the tracer sample¹.

$$\mathcal{E}(r_p) = \langle \epsilon_+(a, b, \theta) \rangle \tag{2}$$

For a given galaxy-tracer pair, *a* and *b* are the axis lengths of the galaxy shape and θ is the orientation of the galaxy relative to the separation vector between it and the tracer. This is measured as a function of the projected separation between them, r_p .

We limit the separation of pairs along the LOS, r_{Π} , to $\pm \Pi_{\text{max}} =$

^{2.2} The Universe is expanding. As distant We used the term DESU internal data release from distant (DESI Collaboration, in prep). It contains spectra of 2.9 million LRGs and 4.0 million ELGs from observations taken during December 14th, 2020 through June 13th 2022 (Zhou et al **Redder = farthology** 2023; Guy et al. 2023). We used Iron's large-scale structure catalog which includes a veto on targets based on hardware and imaging co But, it is a alittle more complicated pthan base achte galaxii ty and splite it up into a "Catalog we have a weige to account for redshift failures, from this catalog, we ran a basic redshift-quality cut to obtain our final samples of 2.5

Rainbows are important because each galaxy has a "spectral fingerprint" we can use to measure exactly how much the light is shifted and how far

blinding policies, our estimation of DESI's ξ_2 measurements are cal**AWAY**, the **galaxyythe** galaxy available spectroscopic catalog from DESI's Survey Validation (DESI Collaboration et al. 2023a,b; Lan et al. 2023). Our determination of the ξ_2 signal which arises from IA is independent of the RSD ξ_2 signal.

 S_+D is the count of data-data pairs weighted

¹ code available here: github.com/cmlamm



Figure 3. The IA signal of LR from each other, 0.4 < z < 1.1, compared to the estimate made in with photometric distances. The photometric estimate was made with 17.5 million galaxies, compared to 2.5 million LRGs for the spectroscopic sample, but necessarily averaged over a larger radial distance. This is adjusted for here, which shows the "relative" IA signal that has been calibrated by the effective radial depth

GALAXY SHAPES

CONNECTED TO WEB er sample D. Measuring this as ed separation and averaging over each data pair $S_+D(r_p)/DD(r_p)$, is equivalent to $\mathcal{E}(r_p)$. S_+R represents the data shapes relative to a random sample, which has an expectation value of 0. $R_S R_D$ is the random-random count. Integrating ξ_{g+} along the



Figure 4. Measurement of the tidal alignment of LRO sha pendently in areas from three regions of DESI's Legacy I described in Section 2.2. DES has the highest quality im ging ging, but there is no significant node of cosmic web IA sign

galaxies, the spectroscopic sample from Iron can be me**Strands of dark** smaller LOS bins and prove with sinilar level of precimatter traced Solate the sample by rgalaxies Spectroscopic data also a ws us to ce. To compare our IA x or lore rec les of differe d redshift distributions, . dibra<u>ted</u> by L as well a clustering bias, b. For bias-independent comparisons, The first part of our measurement is how the shapes of $_{2}$

galaxies are connected to the cosmic web. They typically point towards other galaxies and dense areas, aligning rowth function. direct along large strands of_p dark matter. pairs, not randoms. DD can be expressed as

$$DD(r_p) = RR \int_{-\Pi_{\text{max}}}^{\Pi_{\text{max}}} d\Pi \frac{DD(r_p, \Pi)}{RR}$$

= $RR \int_{-\Pi_{\text{max}}}^{\Pi_{\text{max}}} d\Pi (1 + \xi(r_p, \Pi)) = RR(2\Pi_{\text{max}} + w_p(r_p)).$ (5)

Here ξ and w_p are the typical correlation function and projected cor-The mathetic as opposed to those weighted by shape alignments. these shapes are connected to (r_p) the cosmic web. $d\Pi S_+ D(r_p, \Pi) =$ RR

Therefore, a given w_{g+} and \mathcal{E} made with the same Π_{\max} and same

We take care to describe other ways people measure this and how

they're related to our method justed to account for clustering which decreases the average LOS-separation of pairs. L is included in our final model of the RSD bias (Section 5)². While LE is functionally equivalent to w_{g+} , we notate L and \mathcal{E} separately to be explicit about how the quantity was estimated.

We can compare our spectroscopic IA measurement wi one made with photometric data (Lamman et al. 2023 by L, as shown in Figure 3. Although made with se

² L here is equivalent to L_{eff} in Lamman et al. (2023b)

Galaxy NGC 5614:

"complicated"

Therefore, when comparing IA measurements across samples we use the value $(L/b_{\rm rel})\mathcal{E}(r_p)$. While L is taken into account when estimating the final RSD bias, b does not affect the final result. This is because the amplitude of the power spectrum quadrupole effect arises from the correlation of the galaxy density field and the selectioninduced shape polarization, the latter of which is independent of

When calculating distances and the growth factor, we assume a flat Λ CDM cosmology with $\Omega_m = 0.286$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.714$ and $H_0 =$

DO BAD PICTURES = BAD MEASUREMENTS?

The amplitude of IA can strongly depend upon imaging quality and the methods used to estimate shapes. This is in part due to difficulisophota Unfortunately, real galaxies are outer regions more complicated than just ovals inner regions. This has been measured in BOSS LOWZ, DES, and LSST (Singh et al. 2015; Zuntz et al. 2018; Leonard et al. 2018; Georgiou et al. 20 In this section we check that the way imaging catalog, as imaging quality and shapes vary acrow, region (Figure We Measure galaxy shapes wont in an underestiaffect our results. RSD bias.

To qualify the impact of imaging quality, we compare our IA signal across the three different imaging regions used in the Legacy Imaging Survey: DES, DECaLS, and MzLS+BASS. Each region has varying survey completeness, so to avoid edge effects we made these



Figure 5. Comparison of the intrinsic alignment of LRGs between spectroscopic redshift bins. The y-axis is scaled by the effective depth of the measurement *L* and the galaxy bias b_{rel} , which here is defined as $b_{rel}(z = 0.7) = 1$. These were calculated using the projected correlation function from DESI's Year one data. Errors here only include the statistical difference of the signal between sky regions and not from *b* or *L*. Nearby galaxies broadly display a weaker alignment, though here we have not accounted for luminosity differences across samples.

measurements in a limited area with the most completeness in each region. The result and size of each sample is shown in Figure 4. We do not find a significant difference in the signals, which is in part due to **DECASUREMENT CHANGES** up the BASS **HOW**₁₇**THE MEASUREMENT CHANGES** up the BASS **WITH DIFFERENT SOLAX Mg SAMPLE S** uncorrelated with the tidal field. A small change in ellipticity doesn't propagate as an order-unity error on this signal, which is a very small response to the tidal shear. This may be still be an issue for higher signal-to-noise detections beyond DESI Year 1.

A Weisplit our galaxies intonent using the crosscomthree samples based on how far Equation 2. This was consistent with 0 on all scales. away they are from Earth.

3.3 Dependence on Redshift and Tracer Sample

We see a slight difference in The redshift dependence if A is unclear (Samuroff et al. 2021; Zhou et al the signal between these of be directly observed without according for burnings and differences across redshift bins. DEST SLACE sample is designed to have acconstant co-moving volume with our final results more luminous, and therefore more aligned, galaxies in high redshift samples. However, since we are only inferring a systematic bias and not any physical trends, we only require the IA of each sample. The IA RSD bias is proportional to the amplitude of this signal, so if not properly accounted for, it could manifest in DEST's results as a false evolution of the growth rate as measured by the quadrupole of the correlation function. Therefore we separate our LRG tracer sample into three sub-samples based on redshift and measure the correlation of LRG shapes in each.

The samples are plotted in Figure 5 and displayed in Table 1. To compare the strength of tidal alignment between redshifts, the signal is adjusted based on the clustering in each sample, as described in Section 3.1. As expected, we find the weakest signal for nearby galaxies (0.4 < z < 0.6).



Figure 6. Correlation between the from beach otherwing galaxy density, as traced by both LRGs and ELGs. These samples are both in the redshift range 0.8 < z < 1.1. For comparison, this IA signal is scaled by the samples' clustering, as described in 3.1.



Figure 7. The reduced covariance matrix of \mathcal{E} between bins of transverse separation for our IA measurement with LRG tracers across the full redshift range. The identity may **BIG** cover cubracted from this plot. This demonstrates that there is a covariance cubracted separation between the measurements of \mathcal{E} in call (00 spirials allowed)

We also interpret the alignment of LR(ϵ to the inclusion at trace ϵ is as opposed to the same by proper figure 6). In the overlapping redshift range of the Erd and Erd samples, 0. ϵ 1.1, we find a similar IA signal since both samples are adjusted for clustering. Although some regions of DESI's Year one footprint are less complete for ELGs, this is accounted for in the catalog completeness weights described in Section 2 and we find no

 Different types of galaxies trace the A covariance matrix or the spectroscopic LRG measurement made ov cosmic web in different ways. For example,
 big elliptical galaxies are more likely to be found in very dense areas over spiral galaxies.

4 SELECTION-INDUCED SHAPE POLARIZATION

- THere we see how our results change if we
- measure galaxy shapes relative to the
- popositions of spiral galaxies role in the redshift



Figure 8. Estimates of These polarization for each of the these redshift bin or the tendency for these samples to have shapes aligned with the LOS of aperture magnitude cut. These redshift of the distant galaxies are when estimates the polarization in his of color and m for a sample of LRGs affected by the bias in shape orientations, redshift bin is the average of are closer to the survey cut corresponding to its re likely to have biased galaxy orientations.

dependence of the RSD bias. Redder, fainter galaxies fall closer to the GAERAXIES ARE cut that is used to select DESI targets. Therefore **POINTIONS** AT LEARTH??? er impact on whether or not they POINTIONS AT LEARTH???

more light concentrated within a sky aperture.

Lamman et al. (2023b) estimated the shape polarization of DESI LRGs from a parent ample without the aperture magnitude cut. This was done by generating many 3D light profiles for each galaxy based on the expession on the expession of the anything of the second s (2008). The There's a VERY small undom orientation put through an aperture-magnitude cut. The average ellipticity selected shtendency for galaxies to lection-ind shape polarization As this selection is doing at us. And it's

from an imag deconvolveonly in the sample of shape selection bias relatively independent of that DESI has this effect galaxies that DESI has shape. The chosen to observe te for the en made using the portion of DESI's footprint with the est quality imaging, the DES region. Although this results in a noisier measurement, only the average polarization of a sample affects the final RSD You can read more about why this is in a previous paper. bias.

To estimate the polarization of the LRG redshift samples, we averaged the polarization estimates from the parent sample in bins of

color and We took the results of that paper and looked at how this effect changes their total in samples of galaxies that are different distances away from Earth. Section 2, j_2 is

was not done for the ELG sample, which were only used as tracers. A demonstration of this mapping can be see in Figure 8 and the results are also displayed in Table 1. It is important to note that the polarization varies more across redshift bins than the IA signal, meaning that the redshift dependence of the final RSD bias is more dependent on survey selection than physical alignments.

HOW IT ALL COMES TOGETHER

5 FALSE RSD SIGNATURE IN DESI

polarizati

in this paper; we give only the results here. We have made minor notation changes for clarity. The IA signal \mathcal{E} is combined with the effective LOS-distance L,

described in Section 3.1, and the nonlinear power spectrum P as τ :

$$\tau = \frac{2L(r_p)\mathcal{E}(r_p)}{r_p \frac{d}{dr_p} \left[\frac{1}{r_p}\Psi\right]},\tag{9}$$

$$\Psi(R) = \int \frac{k \alpha}{4\pi} \frac{P(K)}{K} J_1(KR)$$
(10)

Here K_{AS} 2D Fourier Space and J_1 is the first Bessel function. ired independently in each bin of transverse separation, The final variable used in our result, $\overline{\tau}$, is the average of these rminations with standard error. The transverse bins we used for termining τ were linear bins between 5 – 20 h^{-1} Mpc. Since these are relatively large scales, the change from a linear to nonlinear power spectrum had minimal effects on our final result, though it produced more consistent values of τ across the transverse bins.

The "false" signature this produces in the quadrupole of the cor-

$$\xi_{2, \text{gI}}(s) = \epsilon_{\text{LOS}} \frac{i}{2\sigma^2} \int \frac{q}{2\pi^2} P(q) j_2(qs).$$
 (11)

 E_{E1}^{2} is the

the second spherical Bessel function, and s is 3D separation. The relations most relevant for this study can be summarized as

$$\xi_{2, gI} \propto \epsilon_{LRG} \frac{\bar{\tau}}{\sigma_{E1}^2} \propto \epsilon_{LRG} \frac{L\mathcal{E}}{\sigma_{E1}^2}.$$
(12)

Note that this result is independent of the amplitude of the power spectrum and galaxy bias, b. This is because $\xi_{2, gI}$ arises from the correlation of the galaxy density field and the selection-induced shape polarization, the latter of which is independent of bias. It does depend on the projected correlation function w_p through L. Also, since the IA signal only affects $\xi_{2, gI}$ through $\overline{\tau}$, which can be determined

To estimate the This next section connects everything talked about so far with st \$2, gi beyond the the selection-indu adopted from Lathe main thing we cane about for this paper: measured for this estimate are listed in Table 1 How are the measurements of structure growth affected? MNRAS 000. 1-9

Tracer	z _{min}	z _{max}	N σ_{E1}^2	ELOS CUMMOCU	of all the n	nain measur	ements 18)	$\bar{\xi}_{2, gI}(5 < s < 80)$
LRG	0.4	0.6	529852 e 0.040		nether for	our result	$5.9 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-3}$	0.044
LRG	0.6	0.8	⁸⁰ we need	toput to	2.1 ×10-5	94.9 h^{-1} Mpc	$7.0 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-2}$	0.22
LRG	0.8	1.1	896150 0.026	12.8×10^{-3}	1.8×10^{-2}	92.9 h^{-1} Mpc	$5.6 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-2}$	0.41
ELG	0.8	1.1	591687 0.026	$5 12.8 \times 10^{-3}$	1.9×10^{-3}	$73.2 \ h^{-1} Mpc$	$4.3 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-2}$	0.34

Table 1. Samples and values used to estimate the RSD bias for three LRG redshift bins and the LRGxELG cross-correlation. rp and s are given in units of h^{-1} Mpc. The tracer samples used in the top three rows were also used as the shape sample. The last row uses ELG tracers with LRG shapes. The table shows the redshift range and number N of tracers used, and properties of the shape sample: the variance of the real component of ellipticities σ_{E1}^2 and the estimated selection-induced polarization of shap. and this is the main plot showing that result! have negligible statistical errors. The IA signal $\mathcal{E}(r_p)$ is measured as the ellipticity of shapes relative to the tracer sample. $L(r_p)$ is the effective LOS-distance that $\mathcal{E}(r_p)$ is averaged over. $\tau(r_p)$ is defined in Equation 9 and is a combination of $\mathcal{E}(r_p)$, $L(r_p)$, and the power spectrum. These are functions of transverse separation, r_p and are shown in The final column shows the average amplitude of the anisotropic clustering created by IA; the quadrupole of the full estimate of this final result along with the statistical error is shown in Figure 9. this table as averages over the mar correlation function without RSD e



Figure 9. The anisotropic clustering signal arising from tidal alignment and a selection bias, $\xi_{2, gI}$. Statistical errors are shown in the shaded bands, although the total errors are dominated by systematic effects (Section 6). For context, we have also plotted 1% of the expected ξ_2 signal from RSD. This is well above DESI's error budget for measuring the growth rate of structure, which is 0.4-0.7% for LRGs and ELGs combined. Since the ξ_2 signal created by the growth of structure is opposite in sign to that created by IA, we have multiplied the RSD ξ_2 by -1 for an easier comparison. This plot demonstrates that IA will dampen

DESTHERE WE repeat some information from the last paper for easy reference. The se main idea is that the more galaxy correlation we see, and the more bias there is in galaxy shapes, the more DESI's measurements will be affected. gnal. However, as nonlinear

Figure 9. To provide context for this signal, we estimate the total effects become more apparent here and and this effect is less relevant Suadrup

BACU	SHOW much the shapes of arg	e scales.
t al. í	⁰² galaxies correlate with	X
(023b)	large-scale structure	

How much galaxies in the survey tend to be pointed towards Earth

Ö imates, which is well above DESI's total error budget for Since the ξ_2 signal created by the growth of structure is pposite in sign to that created by IA, we have also multiplied this 1%line by -1 for a clear comparison. On the scales used to measure $f\sigma_8$ $(10 < s < 80 h^{-1} Mpc), \xi_2$ for LRGs will be dampened by around 0.15% between redshifts 0.4-0.6, 0.53% between redshifts 0.6-0.8, and 0.80 The pattern sits creates is the same pattern we calculate the signal this will create in DESI's measureof 0.8-11.

simo

HOThe tafoake ade

ka cluster in g^{Mal}

pattern

based (Hadzhiy

Ъ

We used a Nonlinear Alignment model, which has shown to be valid d Galaxies fall towards dense region as the webs D bias God effect the positions of along thgalaxies we measure, creating and istortion in its at highe sign of ξ_2 switches and this bias the galaxy map.

6 CONCLUSION

We measure the tidal alignment of LRGs with DESI Year 1 redshifts, using both LRG and ELG tracers. We also estimate a redshiftdependent polarization in LRG orientations relative to the LOS which arises from an aperture-based target selection. Using a nonlinear tidal ments of the quadrupole of the correlation function. For 0.2-1.1% of the quadrupole signal created by RSD, a fraction of DESI's full-su measuring the growth ra nger alignment signal, but mostly due to

Galaxy Map Structure growth to the target selection without structure causes it to looked growth MNRmorel, squished

CONCLUSION

e on whether or not they pass the aperture magnitude cut and

Simons Foundation; the French Alternative Energies and Atomic Correlations between galaxy orientations and the cosmic web combine with a bias in growgalaxy orientations and mess with our measurements of how the cosmic web grows. eterminations of how the growth rate evolves, a crit-The DESI Legacy Imaging Surveys consist of three individual ical estimator for constraining cosmological models (Kazantzidis & PerivThis is not a new idea, but we are able to measure this effect with some of DESI's first data, allowing us to see how it changes with more distant galaxies. We find it's a bigger (202 deal for more distant galaxies, mostly because they're fainter and more likely to have and estimated that it will produce around a 0.5% decrease on mea-sure biased or ientations ample. While large, upcoming Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, NSF's NOIRLab; the Bok telescope, Steward Observatory, University of Arizona; and the Mayphotometric surveys can provide constraints on IA, for this effect it's all telescope, Kitt Peak National Observatory, NOIRLab. NOIRLab most important to understand the IA of our particular sample. Addi-tiona Without the corrections in this paper, DESI could underestimate the growth rate of redsh**structure**, therefore overestimating the effects of dark energy, and analyses of the data The largest uncertainty in our final results comes from systematic were supported by NOIRLab and the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Legacy Surveys also uses data products from the Neareffects in the estimate of the selection-induced shape polarization. Earth Object Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (NEOWISE) a project of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory California institute of Tech-This is sensitive to assumptions in the light profiles used for mock . selection and the underlying triaxial distribution of shap nology, funded by tas the biggest sources of error based on SDSS imaging (Padilla & tration. Legacy Surveys was supported by: the Diractor Office of Science, Office of High Our results and the ideas we agreement with comparable galax (Bassett & Foster 2019). This c Energy; the National have for future improvements. large imaging survey such as Energy Survey or a DOE Office of Science User Facility: the U.S. National Science Foundation, Division Mostly, we are very excited to ime (Gatti et al. 2021; Legacy Sur 2019). The imaging and shape fits have a l This ic shapes of galaxies (nomical Observatori see all the data that DESI will on the infe and the Chinese Natio aged by the Regents gather over the next few years! the U.S. Department of Energy. The complete acknowledgments can ound at https://www.legacysurvey.org/ s, and conclusions or recommendations exare hove of the author(s) and do not necesstedia ed to c conduct scientific Kitt Peak, a nountain with particular search o Du'ag us to refin shifts, allowing

ashift dependence. These will also produce RSD measurements, necessitating the need to inporate the anisotropic clustering effect caused by IA.

WE DIDN'T DO IT ALONE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

CL thanks the DESI internal reviewers of this paper, Mustapha Ishak and Benjamin Joachimi, for through feedback. CL also thanks Jonathan Blazek for several helpful discussions.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Work are excerned as point of the Grant No. DGE174 from many places, includinger grant DEscoo13718, NASA under ROSES grant 17-EUCL D12-0004, and the Simol Sunding agencies and useful

This Conversations with colleagues! Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, Office of High-Energy Physics, under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, and by the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, a DOE Office of Science User Facility under the same contract. Additional support for DESI was provided by the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF), Division of Astronomical Sciences under Contract No. AST-0950945 to the NSF's National Optical-Infrared Astronomy Research Laboratory; the Science and Technology Facilities Council of the United Kingdom; the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation; the Heising-

SEE THE DATA YOURSEL

DATA AVAILABILITY

significance to the T

The DESI Legacy Imaging Survey is publicly available at legacysurvey.org and DESI's Early Data Release is publicly available at data.desi.lbl.gov/ You Can find the publicly Year 1 sample and will be publicly released as part of DESI Data Release 1 (DR1). AbacusStavailable data we used here bacusnbody.org. Code for projecting ellipsoids and generating light profiles can be found at github.com/cmlamman/ellipse_alignment.

vhono O'adham Nation.

Data plotted in this paper are available at zen-

WE DIDN'T START FROM SCRATCH

REFERENCES

Bassett R., Foster C., 2019, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical

Society, 48 Chisari N. E. This project is built upon the work Physics, 12 and ideas of others, and is part of DESI Collaboration et al., 2016a, reconnical report, ne DESI Experimenan ongoing scientific conversation

doi:10.48550/arXiv.1611.00036. , https://ui.adsabs.harvard. edu/abs/2016arXiv161100036D

- DESI Collaboration et al., 2016b, Technical report, The DESI Experiment Part II: Instrument Design, https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/ abs/2016arXiv161100037D. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016arXiv161100037D
- DESI Collaboration et al., 2022, The Astronomical Journal, 164, 207
- DESI Collaboration et al., 2023a, The Early Data Release of the Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument, doi:10.48550/arXiv.2306.06308, https: //ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023arXiv230606308D
- DESI Collaboration et al., 2023b, Validation of the Scientific Program for the Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument, doi:10.48550/arXiv.2306.06307, https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023arXiv230606307D
- Dey A., et al., 2019, The Astronomical Journal, 157, 168
- Fasano G., Bonoli C., 1989, Astronomy and Astrophysics Supplement Series,
- Gatti M., et al., 2021, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 504, 4312
- Georgiou C., et al., 2019, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 622, A90
- Guy J., et al., 2023, The Astronomical Journal, 165, 144
- Hadzhiyska B., Eisenstein D., Bose S., Garrison L. H., Maksimova N., 202 Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 509, 501
- Hirata C. M., 2009, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Soc
- Ivezić, et al., 2019, The Astrophysical Journal, 873, 111
- Jackson J. C., 1972, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical S 1P
- Joachimi B., et al., 2015, Space Science Reviews, 193, 1
- Johnston H., et al., 2019, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 624, A30
- Kaiser N., 1987, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Soc

Kazantzidis L., Perivolaropoulos L., 2021, 8 Tension. Is Gravit Weaker at Low z? Observational Evidence and Theoretical cations, doi:10.1007/978-3-030-83715-0_33. , https://ui.adsabs. harvard.edu/abs/2021mgca.book..507K

- Kurita T., Takada M., 2023, Constraints on anisotrope learch more about DESI, Gaussianity from intrinsic alignments of SDSScheck out desilbl.gov ies, doi:10.48550/arXiv.2302.02925, https://ui.a edu/abs/2023arXiv230202925K
- Lamman C., Tsaprazi E., Shi J., Niko Šarčević N., Pyne S., Legnani E., Ferreira T., 2023a, The IA Guide: A Breakdown of Intrinsic Alignment Formalisms, doi:10.48550/arXiv.2309.08605, https://ui.

Lan T.-W., et

For a similar paper summary on Dark Energy, see this link For a similar paper summary on a related paper, see this link. Lang D., Hog

entitingouive gotten this far, CONGRATULATIONS!

Thope my notes made it easier to digest this

M paper, "If you're" a scientist, I would love to

mread similar notes on one of your papers :)

- Claire Lamman

p. ascl:1604.008

Lee J., 2011, The Astrophysical Journal, 732, 99

- Leonard C. D., Mandelbaum R., Collaboration L. D. E. S., 2018, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 479, 1412
- Levi M., et al., 2013, The DESI Experiment, a whitepaper for Snowmass 2013, doi:10.48550/arXiv.1308.0847, https://ui.adsabs.harvard. edu/abs/2013arXiv1308.08
- MacMahon C. M. B., Leonard C. E
- Maksimova N. A., Garrison L. H., Satterthwaite T. P., 2021, Mon
- Society, 508, 4017 Mandelbaum R., Hirata C. M., Ish
- Monthly Notices of the Royal A Martens D., Hirata C. M., Ross A. J
- Royal Astronomical Society, 47 Myers A. D., et al., 2023, The Astro
- Obuljen A., Percival W. J., Dalal N troparticle Physics, 2020, 058
- Okumura T., Taruya A., 2023, The Astrophysical Journal 945 L30 Padilla N. D., Strauss M. A., 2008, Monthly Notices of the Royal Altronomical point using the XKCD font: Society, 388, 1321 https://github.com/ipython/xkcd-font

nical Journal, 165, 50

Raichoor A., et al., 2020, Research Notes

Society, 4, 180

Raichoor A., et al., 2023, The Astronomical Journal, 165, 126

- Samuroff S., et al., 2019, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 489, 5453
- Samuroff S., Mandelbaum R., Blazek J., 2021, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 508, 637
- Samuroff S., et al., 2023, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 524, 2195
- Singh S., Mandelbaum R., More S., 2015, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 450, 2195
- Singh S., Yu B., Seljak U., 2021, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 501, 4167
- Troxel M. A., Ishak M., 2015, Physics Reports, 558, 1
- Xu K., Jing Y. P., Zhao G.-B., Cuesta A. J., 2023, Nature Astronomy, 7, 1259 Yuan et al. in prep. S., 2024
- Zhou R., et al., 2020, Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society, 4,181
- Zhou R., et al., 2022, Technical report, Target Selection and Validation of DESI Luminous Red Galaxies, https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/ abs/2022arXiv220808515Z. https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/ 022arXiv220808515Z
 - al., 2023, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society,

2017, Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific,

al., 2018, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society,

r has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.

Laver Jonale